





Capacity Building Module: Renewable Energy Project Development



Supported by:



Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Climate Action



on the basis of a decision by the German Bundestag

CONTENTS



Project Development Overview



Identification

Expert Engagement



Demonstrate Feasibility

Secure Funding

Procurement



Early Project Finance



Monitoring

INTRODUCTION PROJECT DEVELOPMENT OVERVIEW





OVERVIEW OF PROJECT DEVELOPMENT



KEY ROLES FOR PROJECT DEVELOPMENT LIFECYCLE (1 OF 2)



EXPERT ENGAGEMENT

Engage the right experts to assess options

IDENTIFICATION

Identify projects based on LG's needs





3 **ASSESS OPTIONS**

Quantify the benefits of each option and assess which options are affordable. Identity a preferred option

PROJECT MANAGER



EARLY PROJECT FINANCE

Secure financial commitments from LG and National Government. Engage with other development

partners

CONSULTANT TEAM (technical and financial experts)

LG's CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

NG

DFIs

KEY ROLES DURING PROJECT DEVELOPMENT (2 OF 2)

SECURE FUNDING

Formalize funding commitments with legal contracts or via LG/NG budgets

DEMONSTRATE FEASIBILITY

Conduct detailed technical and financial studies to conclude on affordability

7 PROCUREMENT

Appoint private sector partner (if PPP) via tender process. Procure EPC contractor if public owned, and SLA partner if operated by the private sector

PROJECT MANAGER





8 MONITORING

Monitor performance of private sector against contractual obligations. Report on KPIs



LG's Legal/Compliance Team

Equipment Suppliers

Construction Company

Operator

PHASE 1 IDENTIFICATION



DETAILS ON STEP 1: IDENTIFICATION

The Project Owner needs to unpack the LG's needs and status quo by answering the following questions:



Insight/example: In reality, many of the responsibilities listed above are often passed onto experts. Undertaking these steps upfront will allow LGs to better scope work to be undertaken by experts and reduce expert costs.



Which funding models are supported by regulations?

PHASE 2

EXPERT ENGAGEMENT



DETAILS ON STEP 2: EXPERT ENGAGEMENT

How to engage the right experts:



FACTORS TO CONSIDER

- Budgets available to hire experts
- LRG's internal capacity
- Complexity of project
- · Capacity to develop ToR
- · Availability of local experts

- Seek support from development partners with ToR development • Specify minimum skills and track record requirements · Consider how requirements will be scored/evaluated · Clearly define deliverables, timelines and payment milestones

Insight : If limited budgets are available to appoint consultants, the LRG may want to adopt a phased appointment approach. A LRG can include a break clause in the contract and require consultants to price the different phases/deliverables separately.



TERMS OF REFERENCE (ToR)

EVALUATION & APPOINTMENT

- Development partner could form part of evaluation committee • Develop scoring matrix to evaluate bids
- · Communicate outcome of evaluation to bidders
- · Finalize contract

PHASE 3

ASSESS OPTIONS



DETAILS ON STEP 3: ASSESS OPTIONS



PROJECT MANAGER

CONSULTANT TEAM (Technical And Financial Experts)



RANK

Use a multi-criteria assessment approach to rank options and to identify the preferred option.

QUANTIFY

Model the cash flows of 2 to 3 technical solutions under different funding models. Quantify the benefits and affordability of each option.

Identify most viable technical solutions based on volumes, waste streams, seasonality of waste streams etc.

......



ASSESS OPTIONS: IDENTIFYING FUNDING MODELS

APPLY THE TYPOLOGY TOOL

Project fundamentals	Low = 0	Low = 0 Medium =3		
Revenue certainty				
Ability to mitigate operational risks				
Ability to manage Capex risks				
Acceptance of technology risks				
Ability to manage environmental/social risks				
Access to credit enhancement				
Average				
Generic funding mechanisms	Grants (Govt + ODA)	Blended finance, impact investment	PPP + grant /blended finance	PPP, proj bonds
Climate funding mechanisms	Grants	Concessionary loans +	grants	Green boi equity
Identify fu	nding mode	els based on the		

project's revenue and risk profiles

CONFIRM MOST SUITABLE FUNDING MODELS





Eliminate funding models that are not supported

ASSESS OPTIONS: QUANTIFYING OPTIONS

FUNDING MODEL	ANAEROBIC DIGESTOR PLANT
Public Owned + SLA	OPTION A = Model 1
PPP using blended finance	OPTION C= Model 3
Economic costs/	/benefits Quantify co
Are benefits greater	
than costs?	LG budget

Insight: An economic cost-benefit analysis will take both financial and non-financial factors into account. However, if the LRG is budget-constrained and the project is not affordable for the LG, affordability will need to be a first-order factor in project selection. Finance experts will need to be engaged to develop a viable funding model.





ASSESS OPTIONS: RANKING OF OPTIONS

MULTI-CRITERIA ASSESSMENT APPROACH



BEST OPTION?

15%

20%

ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS SCORE

Weighted environmental benefits score

TECHNICAL COMPLEXITY SCORE

Weighted technical complexity score



JOB CREATION SCORE Weighted job creation score

AFFORDABILITY SCORE Weighted affordability score

ASSESS OPTIONS: RANKING OF OPTIONS

ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE - WEIGHTS TO BE ADJUSTED GIVEN PROJECT SPECIFICS AND LG CONTEXT

CRITERIA	UNWEIGHTED SCORES				WEIGHTED SCORES				
	Α	В	С	D	WEIGHT	А	В	С	D
Affordability	100	75	50	50	50%	50.0	37.5	25.0	25.0
Technical complexity	75	50	75	50	20%	15.0	10.0	15.0	10.0
Job creation	80	75	80	75	15%	12.0	11.3	12.0	11.3
Environmental benefit	75	50	75	50	15%	11.3	7.5	11.3	7.5
TOTAL					100%	88.3	66.3	63.3	53.8
RANKING						1	2	3	4







OPTION A

A

Achieves the highest weighted score, mainly due to its high affordability score and the 50% weighting assigned to the criteria

EARLY PROJECT FINANCE

PHASE 4



DETAILS ON STEP 4: EARLY PROJECT FINANCE

SECURING FINANCIAL COMMITMENTS

PROJECT MANAGER

CONSULTANT TEAM (financial expert)

NG ENGAGEMENT

- Assess relevance of national grant mechanisms
- Understand grant requirements and processes to access

LG ENGAGEMENT

- Present options assessment to LG's CFO
- Seek commitment for funding from CFO (own sources of revenue, debt, grants, etc.)
- Identify funding gap

Insight: Early engagement with developers and equipment suppliers is key to ensuring that a project will be attractive and viable for the second se private sector. Funding models may need to be reassessed or adapted if the private sector perceives it as too risky.





DFI ENGAGEMENT

- Discuss project with development partners who may be able to support project development or fund the project Develop a concept note to apply for project preparation funding
- Verify funding assumptions
- Seek commitment for funding

PHASE 5

DEMONSTRATING FEASIBILITY

DETAILS ON STEP 5: DEMONSTRATING FEASIBILITY

UNDERSTAND PROJECT VIABILITY NEEDS AND THE QUESTIONS THAT WILL NEED TO BE ANSWERED



Insight: Historically, many SSA feasibility studies were led by technical experts with limited inputs from financial experts. This approach often resulted in technically sound, but unaffordable or unfunded solutions.



DETAILS ON STEP 5: DEMONSTRATING FEASIBILITY

STEPS 1 to 3

PROJECT MANAGER

CONSULTANT TEAM (all experts)



Insight: Market assessments or surveys may be required to inform revenue assumptions. Engagement with potential off-takers and buyers of biogas, electricity, compost, etc., will be key to ensuring that revenue forecasts are realistic and defendable.





03

MODELLING

- Develop a financial model that calculates the project's internal rate of return (IRR) and cost to LG and end users
- The financial model needs to conclude on affordability
- Economic modelling (if required) will quantify the project's economic benefits relative to its costs
- · GHG modelling will quantify the project's emission savings

DETAILS ON STEP 5: DEMONSTRATING FEASIBILITY

STEPS 4 to 6

PROJECT MANAGER

CONSULTANT TEAM (all experts)

04 CONFIRM **M&E CRITERIA FUNDING MODEL** • M&E criteria need to be • The financial model's outputs will identified confirm whether funding model is feasible · GHG emission savings may need to be quantified and Sensitivities test whether funding reported model remains feasible Baseline data may be If funding model is not feasible, required alternative funding models could be modelled.

Insight: Consultants often produce dense reports that have "thud value," while shorter, punchier reports with annexures are far more likely to be read by funders and stakeholders. The project manager should work with the consultants to develop a report template that will be fit for purpose.







PHASE 6

he great gig in the sky lowly-added albums as a share of total alb

SECURE FUNDING

In Leonones



DETAILS ON STEP 6: SECURE FUNDING

PROJECT MANAGER

Consultant Team (Finance Expert)

LG's Chief Financial Officer + Executive

PUBLIC OWNED & OPERATED



- Submit feasibility study to LG's CFO, Executive, and other involved parties (e.g., investors, National Government, DFIs, etc.)
- Present findings to Executive and obtain written approval for investment
- Present findings from feasibility study to external funders (DFIs, NG, etc.)
- · Obtain written commitments from external funders
- Ensure that project's funding requirements are included in LG's budgets
- LG's CFO finalizes capital funding with LG's treasury and completes grant funding processes

Insight: Grants from development partners or NG can be used to make any of the models more affordable to the LG.





PHASE 7 PROCUREMENT



DETAILS ON STEP 7: PROCUREMENT

PROJECT MANAGER

Consultant Team (Finance Expe

LG's Chief Financial Officer + Executive

LG's Legal/Compliance Team



PUBLICLY OWNED & OPERATED

- Technical consultant develops designs
- Tender is issued to appoint an EPC contractor that contains clear evaluation criteria
- Consortia (equipment supplier & construction company) submit tenders
- Tenders are evaluated by the evaluation committee
- Preferred bidder is selected
- EPC contract is concluded

PUBLIC OWNED

- Technical consult develops service specifications
- RFP is issued to a operator, contain clear evaluation of the second secon
- Operators submittenders
- Tenders are evaluand preferred bio selected
- SLA is concluded



rt)						
Construction Company						
	Operator					
) + SLA	PPP					
tant	 Technical consultant develops output 					
	specifications					
	 Expression of Interest (EoI) is issued to shortlist consortia (equipment) 					
appoint	supplier, construction company &					
ning	operator) Concertie are chartlisted by					
	Consortia are shortlisted by evaluation committee					
t	 Request for Proposal (RFP) issued to 					
	shortlisted consortia with clear					
uated	 Bids are evaluated by evaluation 					
lder	committee					
	 Preferred bidder is selected PPP Agreement is concluded 					
	· FFF Agreement is concluded					

PHASE 8 MONITORING



DETAILS ON STEP 8: MONITORING

PROJECT MANAGER

LG's Chief Financial Officer + Executive LG's Legal/Compliance Team

PUBLICLY OWNED & OPERATED

- LG appoints an independent engineer to monitor the EPC contractor
- The independent engineer must verify performance after construction is completed
- Final payments are only made to the EPC contractor once the performance is verified
- Performance guarantees/bonds are canceled following final sign-off from the independent engineer

PUBLICLY **OWNED + SLA**

- · LG establishes SLA monitoring process or appoints consultant to undertake process
- LG processes sector based on performance





payments to private

PPP

- SPV's lender appoints an independent engineer to monitor the EPC contractor
- Final payments are only made to the EPC contractor once performance is verified
- · LG establishes internal process to ensure that SPV meets its obligations
- Monitoring process must track penalties and apply them to payments





END OF MODULE

Module developed by: Rohit Sen, Andreina Garcia-Grisanti – ICLEI World Secretariat Design: Andreina Garcia-Grisanti, Kanak Gokarn – ICLEI World Secretariat

-

Supported by:



Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Climate Action



on the basis of a decision by the German Bundestag

